

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-3, 6-26 and 28-34 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 6, 22, 28-30 and 34 are amended and claims 4-5 and 27 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments or the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amended claims is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments: (1) place the application in condition for allowance (for the reasons discussed herein); (2) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration (since the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution without incorporating additional subject matter); and/or (3) place the application in better form for appeal (if necessary). Entry is thus requested.

Applicants sincerely acknowledge the Office Action's indication that claims 5, 11, 16-21, 26-29 and 33-34 define patentable subject matter. However, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 12-15 and 22-25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,903,927 B2 to Anlauff and U.S. Patent No. 5,973,664 to Badger. The Office Action further rejects claims 9, 20 and 30-32 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Anlauff, Badger and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0085870 to Hinckley. Since the applied

references, individually or in combination, do not teach or suggest features recited the rejected claims, the rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants described the deficiencies of these combined references in Applicants' previously-filed response and reassert all arguments submitted in that response. Namely, the Office Action admits Anlauff does not teach or suggest features recited in independent claim 1 for example. Further, Applicants respectfully submit that Badger discloses a display orientation independent of a system mode. Thus, Anlauff, Badger and Hinckley, individually or in combination, would not result in at least features and combinations thereof recited in, for example, claim 1. Thus, the Office Action uses impermissible hindsight in asserting that the combined references disclose recited features and combinations thereof. See at least Items 64-65 on page 14 of the Office Action.

However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants respectfully submit that subject matter indicated to be allowable in claim 27 is incorporated into claim 22. Further, subject matter indicated to be allowable in claim 34 has been incorporated into claim 30. Finally, claims 1 and 6 are amended to incorporate subject matter indicated to be allowable to claim 11.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 6, 22 and 30 define patentable subject matter. Claims 2-3, 7-10, 23-25 and 31-32 depend from claims 1, 6, 22 and 30, respectively, and therefore also define patentable subject matter for at least that reason as well as their additionally recited features. Claims 12-15 depend from claim 11, which is

Serial No. **10/812,904**  
Reply to Office Action of June 19, 2006

Docket No. **LT-0053**

indicated to be allowable, and therefore define patentable subject matter for at least that reason as well as their additionally recited features. Claim 4 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-15, 20, 22-25 and 30-32 under §103 is respectfully requested.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Carl R. Wesolowski**, at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. **10/812,904**  
Reply to Office Action of June 19, 2006

Docket No. **LT-0053**

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,  
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Carl R. Wesolowski  
Registration No. 40,372

P.O. Box 221200  
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200  
703 766-3701 DYK/CRW:jld  
**Date: July 21, 2006**  
Q:\Documents\2031-051\100123.doc

**Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610**